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#### Abstract

In the application of Padé methods to signal processing a basic problem is to take into account the effect of measurement noise on the computed approximants. Qualitative deterministic noise models have been proposed which are consistent with experimental results. In this paper the Padé approximants to the $Z$-transform of a complex Gaussian discrete white noise process are considered. Properties of the condensed density of the Pade poles such as circular symmetry, asymptotic concentration on the unit circle and independence on the noise variance are proved. An analytic model of the condensed density of the Padé poles for all orders of the approximants is also computed. Some Monte Carlo simulations are provided. © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 0. Introduction

In many signal processing problems a finite number of terms of the sequence of equispaced data

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=s_{k}+v_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $v_{k}$ is a complex Gaussian white noise sequence is given. The $Z$-transform
$$
s(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{k} z^{-k}
$$
is then considered and inference on $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ is performed based on a Padé approximant $[l, n]_{f}$ of $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{-k}$ computable from the observations $a_{k}, k=0, \ldots, l+n$. The poles of $[l, n]_{s}$ in the complex plane are the key quantities to make inference on $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$. Unfortunately these quantities are very sensitive to noise. Therefore the knowledge of an approximation of $f(z)$ alone hardly helps to solve the problem. However, the appearance of the Froissart doublets [10] (pole-zero pairs of $[l, n]_{f}$ close to the unit circle at a distance proportional to the scale of the noise) can help identifying the poles of $[l, n]_{s}$ filtering out the noise-related ones [6]. Following a somewhat different approach in [2-4,15,16], we were able to get some partially rigorous asymptotic results on the behavior of the poles of $[l, n]_{f}$ for some specific classes of functions $s(z)$ by using qualitative models for the Z-transform $v(z)$ of the noise. Moreover, we demonstrated experimentally that inference methods based on these asymptotic results perform very well in many real life problems. The aim of this paper is to provide some theoretical justification for using such qualitative noise models.

More specifically the qualitative models for $v(z)$ are derived as follows. First notice that if the $v_{k}$ are independent and identically distributed complex random variables with mean zero and variance $\sigma^{2}$, the variance of $v(z)$ is [1]

$$
E\left\{|v(z)|^{2}\right\}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E\left\{\left|v_{k} z^{-k}\right|^{2}\right\}=\sigma^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|z|^{-2 k}=\sigma^{2} /\left(1-|z|^{-2}\right),
$$

where $E\{\cdot\}$ denotes the expected value and the series converges in quadratic mean for $|z|>1$.

The variance diverges as $z$ approaches the unit circle in the complex plane. Thus the presence of the noise makes the unit circle a natural boundary for the function $f(z)$, i.e. a curve of singularities which separates the complex plane into two disconnected pieces. Then Gammel [8,9], motivated by the Froissart experimental results, conjectured that the noise function $v(z)$ is a quasi-analytic function in the sense of Carleman [7]. Following this conjecture, in the papers quoted before we then approximated the random function $v(z)$ by the following deterministic one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}(z)=\sum_{r=1}^{m_{v}} B_{r} /\left(1-\beta_{r} z^{-1}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{v}$ is a large integer, the poles $\beta_{r}=e^{i \vartheta_{r}}$ are equally spaced along the unit circle, and the $B_{r}$ are complex constants which satisfy the condition

$$
\left|B_{r}\right|<\text { const. } \exp \left(-r^{1+\gamma}\right)
$$

with $\gamma>0$. As $m_{v} \rightarrow \infty$ Carleman has shown [7] that function (2) converges to a quasianalytic function which has the unit circle as a natural boundary. We would like to show that


Fig. 1. Location of the poles of $[29,30]_{v}(z)$ for 50 independent realizations of $v$.
the Padé approximants of $v(z)$ are random rational functions whose poles have a distribution concentrated close to the unit circle (see Fig. 1). In [18] the asymptotic zero distribution of polynomials orthogonal with respect to certain positive measures on the unit circle is studied and it is proved that the asymptotic zeros distribution is uniform on the unit circle. Unfortunately, this kind of result is not useful in the present context because the $f(z)$ is a random function, therefore the Padé approximants $[l, n]_{f}$ involve random polynomials orthogonal in a generalized sense with respect to the random data $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ and hence the $a_{k}$ are not the Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of a positive measure.

In the following, it will be proved that the condensed density of the poles of $[l, n]_{f}$-i.e. the expectation of the normalized counting measure defined on the poles-when $s_{k}=0$ and $v_{k}$ are i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian variates is circularly symmetric for all $n$, it is concentrated around the unit circle, therefore supporting the choice of the deterministic model (2) and it is independent of the noise variance. Moreover an analytic model of the marginal condensed density, with respect to the absolute value of the poles, is derived for all orders $n$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 the properties of the condensed density of the Padé poles are derived. In Section 2 a model of the condensed density is computed. In Section 3 some numerical results are shown.

## 1. Properties of the condensed density

Let $f(z)$ be the $Z$-transform of the sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ given by the Laurent power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{-k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the coefficients in this expansion we may compute the Padé approximant $[l, n]_{f}$ of orders $l$ and $n$ to $f(z)$ which is defined by [1]

$$
[l, n]_{f}=P_{1}\left(z^{-1}\right) / P_{2}\left(z^{-1}\right)
$$

where $P_{1}(z)$ and $P_{2}(z)$ are polynomials in $z$ of degree $l$ and $n$, respectively, which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}\left(z^{-1}\right)-P_{2}\left(z^{-1}\right) f(z)=O\left(z^{-(l+n+1)}\right), \quad \text { as }|z| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the $a_{k}, k=0, \ldots, l+n$, the substitution of expansion (3) in condition (4) yields a linear system of equations which determines the coefficients of the polynomials $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. If we write the coefficients of $P_{1}(z)$ and $P_{2}(z)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}(z)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} z+\cdots+\beta_{l} z^{l} \\
& P_{2}(z)=1+\alpha_{n} z+\cdots+\alpha_{1} z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

the linear system is given by [1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{0} & =a_{0}, \\
\beta_{1} & =a_{1}+a_{0} \alpha_{n}, \\
\beta_{2} & =a_{2}+a_{1} \alpha_{n}+a_{0} \alpha_{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\beta_{l} & =a_{l}+a_{l-1} \alpha_{n}+\cdots+a_{0} \alpha_{n-l+1}, \\
0 & =a_{l+1}+a_{l} \alpha_{n}+\cdots+a_{l-n+1} \alpha_{1}, \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & =a_{l+n}+a_{l+n-1} \alpha_{n}+\cdots+a_{l} \alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the convention that $a_{j}=0$ if $j<0$. Let us define for every integer $q$ the Hankel matrices

$$
U^{(q)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{q} & a_{q+1} & \ldots & a_{q+n-1}  \tag{5}\\
a_{q+1} & a_{q+2} & \ldots & a_{q+n} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{q+n-1} & a_{q+n} & \ldots & a_{q+2 n-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the vectors $\underline{a}^{(q)}=\left[a_{q+n}, \ldots, a_{q+2 n-1}\right]^{T}$. To compute the coefficients $\underline{\alpha}$ of $P_{2}(z)$ we have then to solve the linear system

$$
U^{(l-n+1)} \underline{\alpha}^{(l)}=-\underline{a}^{(l-n+1)} .
$$

The poles of $[l, n]_{f}$ are then the roots of $P_{2}\left(z^{-1}\right)$. Equivalently it can be easily shown (see e.g. [4]) that the poles are the generalized eigenvalues of $\left(U^{(q+1)}, U^{(q)}\right), q=l-n+1$, i.e. they are the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case considered here the sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. The Padé approximants $[l, n]_{f}$ of the random function (3) exist a.s. as proved in [14].

Let us now recall the definition of the condensed density of the zeros of a random polynomial. Let us consider first the normalized counting measure

$$
v_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{P_{n}(z)=0} \delta(z)
$$

on the zeros $\lambda_{j}$ of a deterministic polynomial $P_{n}(z)$. We can also define a spectral density

$$
\rho_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta\left(z-\lambda_{j}\right)
$$

If $A$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and $H=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ then

$$
v_{n}(A)=\int_{A} \rho_{n}(z) d z
$$

When we are dealing with random polynomials the zeros counting measure $v_{n}$ becomes a random measure. In this case, we are interested in the mean percentage of zeros belonging to $A$. Following [11] we can then define a condensed density $h_{n}(z)$ by

$$
E\left[v_{n}(A)\right]=\int_{A} h_{n}(z) d z
$$

which exists whatever is the joint distribution of the polynomial coefficients. Therefore,

$$
h_{n}(z)=E\left[\rho_{n}(z)\right]=\frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta\left(z-\lambda_{j}\right)\right]
$$

We also have that, when the random polynomial leading coefficient is equal to one, all the marginal densities of the roots $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ are equal to the condensed density function (see e.g. [5]). We can now prove the basic result where we denote by tr the trace operator and by $\log _{M}$ the matrix logarithm operator.

Theorem 1. The condensed density of the zeros of the random polynomial

$$
P_{2}\left(z^{-1}\right)=Q(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \Delta u_{n}(z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=x+i y, \Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}$, and

$$
u_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n} E\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\log _{M}\left[\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \overline{\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)}\right]\right)\right\}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n} E\left\{\log \left(|Q(z)|^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the definition of matrix logarithm we have

$$
\log [\operatorname{det}(A)]=\operatorname{tr}\left[\log _{M}(A)\right]
$$

for any square matrix $A$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |Q(z)|^{2} & =\log \left[\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \cdot \overline{\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)}\right] \\
& =\log \left[\operatorname{det}\left\{\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}}\right)\right\}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr} \log _{M}\left[\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But we also have

$$
Q(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)=\prod_{j}\left(\lambda_{j}-z\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q)}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |Q(z)|^{2} & =\log \prod_{j}\left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}+\log \left|\operatorname{det}\left(U^{(q)}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j} \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}+\operatorname{tr} \log _{M}\left(U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By equating the two expressions for $\log |Q(z)|^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j} \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& =\operatorname{tr} \log _{M}\left[\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}}\right)\right]-\operatorname{tr} \log _{M}\left(U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now consider the classic result [19, p. 47]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}}=-2 \pi \delta(x) \delta(y) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

But then if $z=x+i y$

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \Delta \log \left(|z|^{2}\right)=\delta(z)
$$

Using this identity we have:

$$
h_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\delta\left(z-\lambda_{j}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{4 \pi n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\Delta \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

But $\Delta \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}$ is a nonnegative distribution, therefore if $\phi(z)$ is a nonnegative test function with compact support $\Omega$, then by definition

$$
\Delta \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}(\phi)=\int_{\Omega}(\Delta \phi(z)) \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} d z
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} h_{n}(z) \phi(z) d z=\frac{1}{4 \pi n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left[\int_{\Omega}(\Delta \phi(z)) \log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2} d z\right]<\infty
$$

because $\phi(z)$ is continuous and $\int_{\mathbb{C}} h_{n}(z) d z=1$, hence by Tonelli's theorem

$$
\int_{\Omega} h_{n}(z) \phi(z) d z=\frac{1}{4 \pi n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}(\Delta \phi(z)) E\left[\log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}\right] d z
$$

Therefore $h_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{4 \pi n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta E\left[\log \left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{2}\right]$. But then, from (9), we have

$$
h_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{4 \pi n} \Delta E\left\{\operatorname{tr} \log _{M}\left[\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right) \overline{\left(U^{(q+1)}-z U^{(q)}\right)}\right]\right\}
$$

because $E\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\log _{M}\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right]\right)\right\}$ does not depend on $z$. This completes the proof.
Remark. We notice that $-\frac{1}{4 \pi} u_{n}(z)$ is the logarithmic potential of the condensed density $h_{n}(z)$ [13].

In the next theorem the circular symmetry of the condensed density $h_{n}(z)$ and its independence of the noise variance $\sigma^{2}$ are proved.

In the following, in order to simplify the notations, the functions are denoted by the same symbols either when a change of variables from cartesian to polar coordinates is done or when the dependence on a specific variable has to be stressed.

Theorem 2. If the data $a_{k}, k=q, \ldots, q+2 n-1$ are independent, zero mean complex Gaussian random variables with variance $\sigma^{2}$ and $z=r e^{i \alpha}$ then $u_{n}(z)$ is a function of $r$ alone and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{n} E\{\log (\operatorname{det}[F(r, \underline{a})])\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r, \underline{a})=U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}+r^{2} U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}-r\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}+U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\underline{a}=\left[a_{q}, \ldots, a_{q+2 n-1}\right] .
$$

Moreover the condensed density in polar coordinates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(r, \alpha)=\frac{r}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the marginal condensed density with respect to $r$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(r u_{n}^{\prime \prime}+u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the marginal condensed density with respect to $\alpha$ is uniform on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Moreover $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ is independent of $\sigma^{2}$.

Proof. By hypothesis

$$
\underline{a} \sim \frac{1}{\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{N}} e^{-\frac{|a|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}, \text { where } N=2 n .
$$

This density is then invariant under the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a} \rightarrow e^{ \pm i \frac{\beta}{2}} \underline{a} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that if $\tilde{z}=e^{i \beta} z=r e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}$ then $u_{n}(z)=u_{n}(\tilde{z}) \forall \beta$. From (8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n}(\tilde{z})= & \frac{1}{n} E\left\{\log \left[\operatorname{det}\left\{\left(U^{(q+1)}-r e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q)}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{U^{(q+1)}-r e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q)}}\right)\right\}\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} E\left\{\operatorname { l o g } \left[\operatorname { d e t } \left\{U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}+r^{2} U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-r\left(e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}+e^{-i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right)\right\}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $V^{(q)}=e^{i \beta / 2} U^{(q)}, \quad V^{(q+1)}=e^{-i \beta / 2} U^{(q+1)}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{(q)} \overline{V^{(q)}}=U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}, \quad V^{(q+1)} \overline{V^{(q+1)}}=U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}, \\
& \left.e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}=e^{i \alpha}\left(e^{i \beta / 2} U^{(q)}\right) \overline{\left(e^{-i \beta / 2} U^{(q+1)}\right.}\right)=e^{i \alpha} V^{(q)} \overline{V^{(q+1)}}, \\
& \left.e^{-i(\alpha+\beta)} U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q)}}=e^{-i \alpha}\left(e^{-i \beta / 2} U^{(q+1)}\right) \overline{\left(e^{i \beta / 2} U^{(q)}\right.}\right)=e^{-i \alpha} V^{(q+1)} \overline{V^{(q)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n}(\tilde{z})= & \frac{1}{n} E\left\{\operatorname { l o g } \left[\operatorname { d e t } \left\{V^{(q+1)} \overline{V^{(q+1)}}+r^{2} V^{(q)} \overline{V^{(q)}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-r\left(e^{i \alpha} V^{(q)} \overline{V^{(q+1)}}+e^{-i \alpha} V^{(q+1)} \overline{V^{(q)}}\right)\right\}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from the invariance property of the distribution of $\underline{a}$ under transformation (15), we have $u_{n}(\tilde{z})=u_{n}(z)$. But then taking $\beta=-\alpha$ we have $u_{n}(\tilde{z})=u_{n}(r)$.

In order to prove (13) and (14) let us consider polar coordinates $x=r \cos \alpha, y=r \sin \alpha$ in (7). Remembering that the Laplacian $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}$, in polar coordinates is

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(r, \alpha)=r h_{n}(r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha)=\frac{r}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, as $u_{n}$ does not depend on $\alpha$, formula (16) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(r, \alpha)=\frac{r}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial r}\right)=h_{n}(r) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The marginal densities with respect to $r$ and $\alpha$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h_{n}(r, \alpha) d \alpha=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h_{n}(r) d \alpha=2 \pi h_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(r u_{n}^{\prime \prime}+u_{n}^{\prime}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
h_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}(r, \alpha) d r=\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}(r) d r=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}^{(r)}(r) d r=\frac{1}{2 \pi}
$$

therefore $h_{n}^{(\alpha)}(\alpha)$ has a uniform density on $[-\pi, \pi]$.
We show now that $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ is independent of $\sigma^{2}$. Let us consider the change of variable $\underline{\tilde{a}}=\frac{1}{\sigma} \underline{a}$ and write $u_{n}(r)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{n\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{N}} \int \log (\operatorname{det}\{F(r, \underline{a})\}) e^{-\frac{|a|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} d \underline{a} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
d \underline{a}=\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Re d a_{k} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Im d a_{k}=\sigma^{N} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Re d \tilde{a}_{k} \cdot \sigma^{N} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Im d \tilde{a}_{k}=\sigma^{2 N} d \underline{\tilde{a}},
$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{I}$ denote real and imaginary parts. Moreover $F(r, \underline{a})=\sigma^{2} F(r, \underline{\tilde{a}})$, therefore $\operatorname{det}\{F(r, \underline{a})\}=\sigma^{2 N} \operatorname{det}\{F(r, \underline{\tilde{a}})\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(r)=2 N \log (\sigma)+\frac{1}{n \pi^{N}} \int \log (\operatorname{det}\{F(r, \underline{\tilde{a}})\}) e^{-|\underline{\tilde{a}}|^{2}} d \underline{\tilde{a}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

But then $u_{n}^{\prime}, u_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and hence $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ do not depend on $\sigma$.
In the next theorem we prove that the condensed density $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ converges to the uniform measure on the unit circle when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\delta(r-1)$.
Proof. Let us consider the matrix function $g(A)=\log (\operatorname{det}[A])$ defined on the Hermitian matrices $A$. From [17, F.2.c, p. 476] we know that $g$ is a matrix-concave function. Hence
from a generalization of Jensen inequality to matrix functions [17, E.6, p. 467] it follows that

$$
E\{\log (\operatorname{det}[F(r, \underline{a})])\} \leqslant \log (\operatorname{det}[E\{F(r, \underline{a})\}])
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[F]= & E\left[U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}\right]+r^{2} E\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right] \\
& -r\left(E\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}\right]+E\left[U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, because the $a_{k}$ are independent random variables,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{e}_{j}^{T} E\left[U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}} \underline{e}_{k}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} E\left[a_{j+h} \bar{a}_{h+k}\right]=\delta_{j, k} n \sigma^{2},\right. \\
& \underline{e}_{j}^{T} E\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right] \underline{e}_{k}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} E\left[a_{j+h-1} \bar{a}_{h+k-1}\right]=\delta_{j, k} n \sigma^{2}, \\
& \underline{e}_{j}^{T} E\left[U^{(q+1)} \overline{U^{(q)}}\right] \underline{e}_{k}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} E\left[a_{j+h} \bar{a}_{h+k-1}\right]=\delta_{j, k-1} n \sigma^{2}, \\
& \underline{e}_{j}^{T} E\left[U^{(q)} \overline{U^{(q+1)}}\right] \underline{e}_{k}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} E\left[a_{j+h-1} \bar{a}_{h+k}\right]=\delta_{j-1, k} n \sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
E[F]=n \sigma^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1+r^{2} & -r & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-r & 1+r^{2} & -r & 0 & \ldots \\
. & \cdot & . & \cdot & \cdot \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -r & 1+r^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover it is easy to show that $\operatorname{det}(E[F])=\left(n \sigma^{2}\right)^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j}$. Hence

$$
\log \operatorname{det}(E[\underline{f}])=n \log \left(n \sigma^{2}\right)+\log \sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(r) \leqslant \log \left(n \sigma^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find a lower bound for $u_{n}(r)$ let us rewrite the marginal condensed density with respect to $r$ as $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\left[\frac{1}{2} r u_{n}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$. Hence $\frac{1}{2} r u_{n}^{\prime}$ must be a distribution function. But then $\frac{1}{2} r u_{n}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ and therefore $u_{n}(r)$ is nondecreasing. Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(0)=c_{n}>-\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n}(r)}{\log \left(r^{2}\right)}=1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

But then the Green function of the differential operator in (14) with the boundary conditions (22) and (23) is

$$
G(r, x)= \begin{cases}\log x^{2} & \text { if } r \leqslant x \\ \log r^{2} & \text { if } r>x\end{cases}
$$

therefore,

$$
u_{n}(r)=\int_{0}^{\infty} G(r, x) h_{n}^{(r)}(x) d x=\log r^{2} \int_{0}^{r} h_{n}^{(r)}(x) d x+\int_{r}^{\infty} \log x^{2} h_{n}^{(r)}(x) d x
$$

Hence if $r \geqslant 1$

$$
u_{n}(r) \geqslant \log r^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{n}^{(r)}(x) d x=\log r^{2}
$$

as $h_{n}^{(r)}(x)$ is a probability density. Moreover as $u_{n}(r)$ is not decreasing we have that for $0 \leqslant r<1, u_{n}(r) \geqslant u_{n}(0)=c_{n}$. Hence a lower bound for $u_{n}(r)$ is

$$
v_{n}(r)= \begin{cases}c_{n} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1 \\ \log r^{2} & \text { if } r>1\end{cases}
$$

After Theorem 2 we know that $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ does not depend on $\sigma^{2}$ therefore we can choose a variance dependent on $n$ such that $\log \left(n \sigma_{n}^{2}\right)=c_{n}$. From (21) an upper bound for $u_{n}(r)$ is then given by

$$
w_{n}(r)=c_{n}+\frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j}
$$

It is easy to show that for $r>1$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j}=\log r^{2}
$$

Hence the sequence $c_{n}$ is bounded above by zero and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=0$ because of the continuity of $u_{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n}(r)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}(r) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we notice that

$$
w_{n}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 j r^{2 j-1}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{2 j}}=\frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}} \frac{n r^{2 n}\left(1-r^{2}\right)+r^{2 n}-1}{n\left(r^{2 n} r^{2}-1\right)}
$$

therefore

$$
w_{\infty}^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n}^{\prime}(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1 \\
\frac{2}{r} & \text { if } r>1
\end{array}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1, \\
2 H(r-1)+\frac{2(1-r)}{r} & \text { if } r>1,\end{cases}\right.
$$

where $H(r)$ is the Heaviside function. Moreover

$$
w_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}(r)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n}^{\prime \prime}(r)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1, \\ 2 \delta(r-1)-\frac{2}{r^{2}} & \text { if } r>1\end{cases}
$$

But, by considering $v_{n}(r)$ as a distribution, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}^{\prime}(r)=w_{\infty}^{\prime}(r)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $v_{n}^{\prime \prime}(r)=w_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}(r)$. Therefore, because of (24) we must have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}^{\prime}(r)=w_{\infty}^{\prime}(r), \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(r)=w_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}(r)
$$

hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}\left(r u_{n}^{\prime \prime}+u_{n}^{\prime}\right)=r \delta(r-1)=\delta(r-1)
$$

## 2. The condensed density model

In order to get from (14) an analytic expression for the marginal condensed density with respect to $r$, we need an analytic expression for the function $u_{n}(r)$ which is unfortunately given by the difficult integral (19) which is not computable for general $n$ by standard methods. We then look for an analytic model $\tilde{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ of the condensed density $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ which is consistent with the empirical distributions that can be obtained by Monte Carlo simulations. To this aim we start by noticing that the upper bound $w_{1}(r)$ gives rise to the true condensed density $h_{1}^{(r)}(r)$. In fact we have

Theorem 4. $u_{1}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \sigma^{2}-\Gamma\right)+\log \left(1+r^{2}\right)$, where $\Gamma$ is the Euler constant. Moreover $h_{1}^{(r)}(r)=\frac{2 r}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}$.

Proof. When $n=1$ the generalized eigenvalue problem (6) becomes

$$
a_{q+1}-z a_{q}=0
$$

From standard results of interpolation by sum of complex exponentials [12, Theorem 2.c], there exist random variables $(\lambda, b)$ such that

$$
b=a_{q}, \quad \lambda b=a_{q+1} .
$$

But by hypothesis ( $a_{q+1}, a_{q}$ ) has a bivariate complex Gaussian density

$$
\left(a_{q+1}, a_{q}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}} e^{-\frac{\left.\left|a_{q+1}\right|^{2}| | a_{q}\right|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}
$$

By making the transformation

$$
T:\left(a_{q+1}, a_{q}\right) \rightarrow(\lambda, b)
$$

noting that the complex Jacobian of $T^{-1}$ is $J_{C}=b$ and remembering that the Jacobian with respect to the real and imaginary part of the complex variables is $J_{R}=\left|J_{C}\right|^{2}=|b|^{2}$ (see e.g. the appendix in [5]), we get

$$
(\lambda, b) \sim \frac{1}{\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}} e^{-\frac{|b|^{2}\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)}{\sigma^{2}}}|b|^{2}
$$

The marginal density with respect to $\lambda$ is then

$$
\frac{1}{\left(\pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|b|^{2}\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)}{\sigma^{2}}}|b|^{2} \cdot d \Re b \cdot d \Im b=\frac{1}{\pi\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

In polar coordinates $\lambda=r e^{i \alpha}$ we get

$$
h_{1}^{(r)}(r)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\pi\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}} r d \alpha=\frac{2 r}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

hence $u_{1}(r)$ must satisfy the ODE

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(r u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 r}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+c_{2} \log (r)+\log \left(1+r^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

But when $n=1$ and $r=0$ the integral in (19) can be done explicitly giving

$$
u_{1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \sigma^{2}-\Gamma\right)
$$

Taking the limit for $r \rightarrow 0$ in (25) and imposing this initial condition and the condition that the solution is bounded in zero, we get the result.

Motivated by this result, we propose a class of models which coincides with the true density for $n=1$ and has the expected asymptotic behavior as prescribed by Theorem 3 .

Let us first define

$$
\hat{u}_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_{j}^{(n)} r^{2 j}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{j}^{(n)}=\gamma_{n-j}^{(n)}, j=0, \ldots, n ; \quad 0<\gamma_{j}^{(n)} \leqslant 1$, and $\gamma_{0}^{(n)}=\gamma_{n}^{(n)}=1$ in order to correctly reproduce $u_{1}(r)$ (apart from a constant). Then define

$$
\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(r \hat{u}_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\hat{u}_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The following theorem holds
Theorem 5. The model $\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ is a probability density i.e. it is nonnegative and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r) d r$ $=1$. Moreover $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)=\delta(r-1)$.

Proof. Let be $H_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{2} r \hat{u}_{n}^{\prime}(r)$. As $H_{n}^{\prime}(r)=\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ it is enough to show that $H_{n}(r)$ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function such that $H_{n}(0)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} H_{n}(r)=1$. From the definition of $\hat{u}_{n}(r)$ we have

$$
H_{n}(r)=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \gamma_{j}^{(n)} r^{2 j}+r^{2 n}}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{j}^{(n)} r^{2 j}+r^{2 n}}
$$

hence $H_{n}(r)$ is nonnegative, $H_{n}(0)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} H_{n}(r)=1$. Let us show that it is nondecreasing. Let be $r<\tilde{r}$; it is easy to show that $H_{n}(r)-H_{n}(\tilde{r})=\sum_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(r^{2 k}-\tilde{r}^{2 k}\right)$ where $\alpha_{k}>0$. Hence $H_{n}(r)$ is nondecreasing. The last part of the thesis follows by the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3, noticing that $\hat{u}_{n}(r) \leqslant w_{n}(r)$ because $\gamma_{j}^{(n)} \leqslant 1$.

Remark. We notice that, as $h_{n}^{(r)}(r)$, also $\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ does not depend on the noise variance $\sigma^{2}$.

## 3. Numerical results

In this section we report on some Monte Carlo simulations for computing the empirical distributions of the absolute value of the poles of the Pade approximants $[l, n]_{f}$ for several orders and compare them to a specific model from the class described in the previous section.

By choosing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{j}^{(n)}=(1+\log j)^{-\left(1+\beta_{n}\right)}, \quad \beta_{n} & =\log (1+\log (1+0.01 * n)) \\
j & =1, \ldots, n-1, \quad n=2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

we got a good fit with the empirical distributions in the range $n \in(1,500)$ as shown in Figs. 2-4 where the empirical distributions of the absolute value of the poles of the Padé


Fig. 2. Empirical distribution of the modulus of the poles of $[2,3]_{v}(z)$; solid: $\hat{h}_{3}^{(r)}(r)$.


Fig. 3. Empirical distribution of the modulus of the poles of $[29,30]_{v}(z)$; solid: $\hat{h}_{30}^{(r)}(r)$.
approximants of order [2, 3], [29, 30], [499, 500], respectively, are shown. The empirical distributions are built using 3000 samples each. In Fig. 5 the model function $\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ is plotted for several values of $n$.


Fig. 4. Empirical distribution of the modulus of the poles of $[499,500]_{v}(z)$; solid: $\hat{h}_{500}^{(r)}(r)$.


Fig. 5. The functions $\hat{h}_{n}^{(r)}(r)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots, 15$.
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